

LYMAN α COOLING RADIATION FROM HIGH-REDSHIFT HALOS

ZOLTÁN HAIMAN^{1,4}, MARCO SPAANS^{2,4} & ELIOT QUATAERT^{3,5}

¹Princeton University Observatory, Ivy Lane, Princeton, NJ 08544

²Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street MS 51, Cambridge, MA 02138

³Institute for Advanced Study, School of Natural Sciences, Olden Lane, Princeton, NJ 08540

Submitted to ApJ Letters

ABSTRACT

The baryons inside high-redshift halos with virial temperatures above $T \sim 10^4\text{K}$ cool radiatively as they condense inside dark matter potential wells. We show that the release of the gravitational binding energy, over the halo assembly time-scale, results in a significant and detectable Ly α flux. At the limiting line flux $\approx 10^{-19} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ asec}^{-2}$ of the *Next Generation Space Telescope*, several sufficiently massive halos, with velocity dispersions $\sigma \gtrsim 120 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, would be visible per $4' \times 4'$ field. The halos would have characteristic angular sizes of $\approx 10''$, would be detectable in a broad-band survey out to $z \approx 6 - 8$, and would provide a direct probe of galaxies in the process of forming. They may be accompanied by He⁺ Ly α emission at the $\approx 10\%$ level, but remain undetectable at other wavelengths. Our predictions are in good agreement with the recent finding of two Ly α “blobs” at $z = 3.1$ by Steidel et al. (1999).

subject headings: cosmology:theory – early universe – galaxies: evolution – galaxies: ISM

1. INTRODUCTION

In standard Cold Dark Matter (CDM) models for the formation of structure in the universe, a significant population of DM halos collapse at high redshift ($z \gtrsim 5$). Provided that the gas inside such a halo is heated to a virial temperature of $T \gtrsim 10^4\text{K}$ (or $T \gtrsim 10^2\text{K}$ if H₂ molecules are present, see, e.g., Haiman, Abel & Rees 2000), it can cool on a short time-scale, and continue condensing. This condensation process can be regarded as a cosmological cooling flow: a high-redshift and low-mass analogue of cooling flows found in clusters of galaxies (e.g. Fabian 1994).

The behavior of the gas in early DM condensations should resemble the formation of normal disk galaxies, which has been investigated by several authors in semi-analytic schemes (White & Rees 1978; Fall & Efstathiou 1980; Mo, Mao & White 1998 [MMW]; van den Bosch & Dalcanton 2000), as well as numerical simulations (Katz 1991; Navarro & White 1994; Navarro, Frenk & White 1997 [NFW]; Navarro & Steinmetz 1997; Moore et al. 1999). In all of these studies, most of the baryons in the halo cool and settle into a rotationally supported disk with an approximately exponential surface density profile. In the simplest picture, the disk material originates as smooth gas, collapsing isothermally from the virial radius R_{vir} to its final orbital radius of $\sim \lambda R_{\text{vir}}$, where $\lambda \sim 0.05$ is the typical spin parameter. The numerical simulations reveal a more complex process, where the gas forms smaller clumps early on; these clumps then progressively merge together, collide, and dissipate, to form the larger systems.

Irrespective of the dynamical details of the collapse, the disk material must dissipate a minimum energy $\sim M_{\text{disk}} v_c^2$, where M_{disk} is the final mass of the disk and v_c is the

circular velocity of the DM halo in which it is embedded. The most natural mechanism for this dissipation is radiation from collisionally excited H and He, as well as Bremsstrahlung radiation for more massive ($T_{\text{vir}} \gtrsim 10^6\text{K}$) DM halos. Although this cooling radiation has been assumed to be present in studies of the formation of disk-galaxies, its observability has typically not been addressed.

In this *Letter*, we use a simple toy-model to quantify the Ly α fluxes expected from the baryonic material cooling and settling into the DM potential wells at high redshift. We estimate the number of sources that would be detectable with the *Next Generation Space Telescope* (NGST), and argue that these sources could be identified based on their large angular extent, relatively shallow surface brightness profiles, and their low luminosity at other wavelengths. A detection of the cooling radiation would be a novel and direct way to study proto-galaxies in the process of their assembly. Throughout this *Letter*, we assume the background cosmology to be ΛCDM , with the parameters $\Omega_m = 0.3, \Omega_b = 0.04, \Omega_\Lambda = 0.7, h = 0.65$, and $\sigma_8 = 1$.

2. COOLING RADIATION

We first estimate the energy budget and total flux of the cooling radiation from a halo under the following simplifying assumptions: (1) initially, the baryons and the DM both follow the same density profile, apart from a normalization by Ω_b/Ω_m , (2) the baryons settle into a rotationally supported disk, and (3) the energy radiated emerges as Ly α radiation.

A parcel of gas in a massive halo with virial temperature significantly above 10^4K would be shock-heated to a high temperature, and initially cool via either He⁺ line-

⁴Hubble Fellow

⁵Chandra Fellow

cooling, or via Bremsstrahlung. This initial, fast cooling would release the thermal energy of the gas, and reduce its temperature to $\sim 10^4\text{K}$.³ Since the 10^4K gas is no longer pressure supported, it contracts on a dynamical timescale, and moves inward in the DM potential well. During this contraction, it is likely to remain roughly isothermal, and radiate mostly Ly α radiation (see discussion below).

The energy dissipated in this contracting phase must be approximately the gravitational binding energy. We now compute this energy explicitly for the gas moving in the NFW potential. We assume that the gas and DM are initially both distributed according to

$$\rho(r) = \rho_0 \frac{1}{(r/r_s)(1 + r/r_s)^2}, \quad (1)$$

and that the final state is a rotationally supported exponential disk embedded in the DM halo. We use the solution for the disk surface density $\Sigma(r)$ in MMW (their eq. 28) in the final configuration, which takes into account the gravitational effect of the disk on the halo in a self-consistent fashion. A gas particle initially located at radius R_i settles into a circular orbit at a final radius R_f , such that the initial and final enclosed gas masses are equal,

$$\int_0^{R_i} dr 4\pi r^2 \rho_{\text{gas}}(r) = \int_0^{R_f} dr 2\pi r \Sigma_{\text{gas}}(r). \quad (2)$$

In the solution for $\Sigma_{\text{gas}}(r)$, we adopt the typical concentration index $c \equiv R_{\text{vir}}/r_s = 10$, spin parameter $\lambda = 0.05$, and assume that all baryons end up in the disk. We find contraction factors of $R_i/R_f \approx 10$, varying from a value of ≈ 8 for particles near the the surface R_{vir} to a peak of ≈ 13 near half the virial radius. Note that more realistically, a distribution of values for λ should be used, causing an intrinsic scatter in the luminosity of a halo of given initial size. This could enhance the number of halos visible at a given Ly α flux.

The total energy released in cooling by a particle of mass m in this process is

$$E_{\text{cool}} = W - E_{\text{kin}} = \int_{R_f}^{R_i} dr \frac{GM(r)m}{r^2} - \frac{GM(R_f)m}{R_f}, \quad (3)$$

where W is the work done on the particle by the gravitational field, E_{kin} is the kinetic energy of the particle in its final circular orbit, and $M(r)$ is the total mass interior to radius r . Summed over all particles, we have

$$W = \int_0^{R_{\text{vir}}} dR_i 4\pi R_i^2 \rho_{\text{gas}}(R_i) \int_{R_f}^{R_i} dr \frac{GM(r)}{r^2} \quad (4)$$

$$E_{\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty dr 2\pi r \Sigma(r) \frac{GM'(r)}{r}. \quad (5)$$

Note that in eq. 4, we have used the initial gas distribution ρ_{gas} and total (gas + DM) enclosed mass $M(r)$. For simplicity, we have assumed that the initial NFW distribution determines both quantities. By contrast, in eq. 5, $\Sigma(r)$ and $M'(r)$ refer to the surface density of the gaseous disk, and the total enclosed mass (DM+disk) in the final equilibrium state, taken from the numerical solution

in MMW. Under these assumptions, we find that the total radiated energy is

$$E_{\text{cool}} = W - E_{\text{kin}} = 1.9 E_{\text{bind}}, \quad (6)$$

where $E_{\text{bind}} = \int_0^{R_{\text{vir}}} dr 4\pi r^2 \rho_{\text{gas}} GM(r)/r$ is the total initial gravitational binding energy.

In an early three-dimensional smooth particle hydrodynamics (SPH) simulation, Katz (1991) explicitly computed the energy dissipated during the collapse of a $7 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$ perturbation in a standard CDM. Adopting the parameters of this halo, we find the energy radiated in our spherical approach to be 1.1×10^{59} erg. This is only $\approx 30\%$ smaller than the value 1.5×10^{59} obtained in the simulation, lending support for our simple estimates for the overall energy budget.

The timescale over which the dissipated energy is released is related to the assembly of the halo. In the simple picture of monolithic collapse in a pre-existing spherical DM halo, the initial thermal energy would be released quickly on a cooling time; subsequently, the gas would contract isothermally on a dynamical time. Since the typical overdensities are of order ~ 100 ; this would correspond to $\sim 1/\sqrt{100} = 10\%$ of the Hubble time $t_{\text{Hubble}}(z)$. However, in the hierarchical structure formation scenarios of CDM cosmologies, halos are built up gradually, as a result of collapse on smaller scales, mergers, and continuous accretion of fresh gas. The typical time-scale on which this process occurs can be estimated within the extended Press-Schechter “merger tree” formalism. This formalism yields the probability distribution of ages $dp/dt(M, z, t_h)$ for halos of mass M existing at redshift z , where the characteristic “age”, t_h , is defined to be the time elapsed since the halo had acquired half of its present mass (Lacey & Cole 1993, eq. 28).

For a halo of mass M at redshift z , we adopt the definition

$$\int_0^{t_d} dt \left[\frac{dp}{dt}(M, z, t) \right] = 0.5 \quad (7)$$

for the characteristic time t_d over which the gas assembles and dissipates its energy. This definition ensures that half of the halos build up half of their final mass within the time t_d . The time t_d is a fraction of the Hubble time and depends on the halo mass. On average, larger, rarer halos are assembled more recently than typical halos corresponding to 1σ density perturbations. In general, for the halo masses relevant for our discussion, we find $0.15 \lesssim t_d/t_{\text{Hubble}}(z) \lesssim 0.30$, slightly longer than the dynamical time.

3. DETECTABILITY OF LYMAN α HALOS

Armed with the energy budget and characteristic dissipation time, we can now make simple estimates for the expected Ly α fluxes from gas cooling in halos. The total line flux from a halo of mass M at redshift z is simply given by

$$F_\alpha(M, z) = \frac{E_{\text{cool}}(M, z)/t_d(M, z)}{4\pi d_l^2(z)}, \quad (8)$$

where $d_l(z)$ is the luminosity distance to redshift z . A halo will subtend an angle $2\theta_{\text{vir}} = 2R_{\text{vir}}/d_A \approx$

³This could result in additional He Ly α or Bremsstrahlung cooling signals comparable to the H Ly α radiation discussed here.

$12'' (R_{\text{vir}}/50 \text{ kpc}) [d_A(z=5)/d_A(z)]$, and will be easily resolved at *NGST*'s angular resolution of $\approx 0.05''$.

To assess the observability of our sources, we use the proposed instrumental characteristics of *NGST* with an 8m diameter mirror. The expected signal-to-noise ratio in an observation of duration t is

$$\frac{S}{N} = \frac{A f t F_\alpha (1+z) / h \nu_\alpha}{(A f t \Delta \lambda \pi \theta_{\text{vir}}^2 I_{\text{bg}})^{1/2}}, \quad (9)$$

which yields approximately

$$\frac{S}{N} \approx 5 \left(\frac{A}{50 \text{ m}^2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{f}{0.5} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{t}{2.5 \times 10^5 \text{ s}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{R}{5} \right)^{1/2} \times \left(\frac{2 \times 10^4}{I_{1.25}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{M}{3 \times 10^{11} \text{ M}_\odot} \right)^{4/3} \left(\frac{1+z}{6} \right)^2 \quad (10)$$

where A is the mirror area, f is a fiducial system throughput, $R \equiv \Delta\lambda/\lambda_{\text{obs}}$ is the assumed filter width, t is the integration time, and $I_{\text{bg}} = I_{1.25}(\lambda/1.25\mu\text{m})^{-1.5}$ photons $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ is the zodiacal background, extrapolated to wavelengths shorter than $1.25 \mu\text{m}$ from Kelsall et al. (1998). The background turns over at $\lambda \lesssim 0.5\mu\text{m}$ (Leinert et al. 1998), so that our extrapolation is an overestimate at these wavelengths⁴. We have approximated the angular diameter distance as $d_l \propto (1+z)^{0.75}$, and the dissipation time as $t_d \propto (1+z)^{-1.5}$. These scalings are accurate to within a factor of two in the relevant mass and redshift range; in our calculations we use the exact expressions.

The expected line-widths are of order $\sim 30[(1+z)/6] \text{ \AA}$ (see below), and a narrow band filter with a comparable width would minimize the sky background. In an initial blind search for the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ halos, however, we find that it is more advantageous to use a broader filter, which covers a larger redshift range. Eq. 10 reveals that the minimum detectable halo mass scales with the filter width approximately as $M \propto \Delta\lambda^{3/8}$; the total number of halos visible per observation then scales as $N \propto dz M (dN/dM) \propto \Delta\lambda/M \propto \Delta\lambda^{5/8}$. Accordingly, in the estimates below we adopt a broad-band filter width of $\Delta\lambda/\lambda_{\text{obs}} = 0.2$ ($R = 5$). The limiting surface brightness near the wavelength of $1\mu\text{m}$ in a 2.5×10^5 second observation, is $F_{\text{min}} \approx 10^{-19} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ asec}^{-2}$ ($S/N=5$). In comparison, the limiting line fluxes in current searches for high- z $\text{Ly}\alpha$ -emitters are typically $\approx 10^{-17} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

In Table 1, we list examples for the detectability of $\text{Ly}\alpha$ halos at *NGST*'s limiting line flux at different redshifts, and summarize the properties of halos at the detection threshold. In order to produce the required flux, halos located at redshifts $2 < z < 8$ must have circular velocities of around 170 km s^{-1} , or total masses above $M_{\text{min}} \approx 10^{11} \text{ M}_\odot$. Note that because of the smaller angular sizes at higher redshift, and because of the decreasing background at longer wavelengths, a *smaller* halo is detectable at higher redshifts. Interestingly, the circular velocity corresponding to the detection threshold is nearly independent of redshift. This is apparent from eq. 10, since $v_c^2 \propto M^{4/3}(1+z)^2$. In order to be detectable at $z \approx 3$ using current instruments, the required circular velocity is larger, $\approx 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, corresponding to a total mass near

$7 \times 10^{12} \text{ M}_\odot$. In the last column of Table 1, we have shown the surface density of halos more massive than M_{min} ,

$$N_{\text{tot}} = \Delta z \Delta\Omega \frac{dV}{dz d\Omega} \frac{t_d}{t_{\text{Hub}}(z)} \int_{M_{\text{min}}(z)}^{\infty} dM \frac{dn}{dM} \quad (11)$$

where $dV/dz d\Omega$ is the cosmological volume element, dn/dM is the mass-function of halos taken from the Press-Schechter (1974) formalism, $t_d/t_{\text{Hub}}(z)$ is the duty-cycle, $\Delta\Omega = 4' \times 4'$ is the proposed field of view of *NGST*, and $\Delta z = 0.2(1+z)$ is the redshift range probed by our filter. As the table shows, ~ 30 halos are detectable within each *NGST* survey field, with ~ 1 halo still visible from a redshift of $z \approx 6-7$. It should be kept in mind that much fainter halos at higher redshifts could be detectable through a narrow filter. For instance, adopting a width $R = 1,000$ would allow a detection of a halo with $M \approx 10^{10} \text{ M}_\odot$, $v_c = 90 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ out to $z = 10$ ($S/N=5$, $t = 2.5 \times 10^5 \text{ s}$).

At the sensitivity threshold of current instruments, the predicted surface density of $\text{Ly}\alpha$ halos near $z = 5$ is ≈ 600 per square degree. This value is $\approx 4\%$ of the surface density of $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emitters at $z = 4.5$ found in a recent narrow-band search with the Keck Telescope (Hu et al. 1998). The last line of Table 1 shows the number of halos expected at the sensitivity level of the Steidel et al. (1999) data. They found two $\text{Ly}\alpha$ "blobs", compared to our prediction of ~ 0.4 such objects in a 78 sq. asec field with a three-dimensional overdensity of 15, and in a redshift interval of $\Delta z = 0.06$. Their halos have angular sizes of $15''$ and $17''$, which are $\approx 50\%$ smaller than the size we obtained from the virial radius.

TABLE 1

Examples for the detectability and surface density of $\text{Ly}\alpha$ halos.

z	$\frac{M_{\text{min}}}{10^{11} \text{ M}_\odot}$	$\frac{t_d}{t_{\text{Hub}}}$	$\frac{T_{\text{vir}}}{10^6 \text{ K}}$	$\frac{v_c}{\text{km/s}}$	$\frac{F_\alpha/10^{-17}}{\text{erg/s/cm}^2}$	$\frac{\theta_{\text{vir}}}{\text{asec}}$	$\frac{N_{\text{tot}}}{4' \times 4'}$
2	7.5	0.34	2.0	166	7.96	15.4	11.2
3	5.2	0.28	2.1	170	3.97	11.0	9.1
4	3.9	0.24	2.2	171	2.41	8.8	5.7
5	3.0	0.22	2.2	172	1.63	7.4	3.1
6	2.3	0.19	2.2	171	1.16	6.5	1.5
7	1.9	0.18	2.1	170	0.87	5.7	0.7
8	1.6	0.16	2.1	169	0.69	5.2	0.3
3.1	70	0.22	12	400	3.7	25	0.07

4. FURTHER CONSIDERATIONS

4.1. Details of Collapse and Cooling

The $\text{Ly}\alpha$ cooling signal proposed here is independent of the fate of the dissipating gas in the final stages of collapse, i.e. the details of disk-formation, fragmentation, and star-formation. Nevertheless, a few caveats must be kept in mind. Three-dimensional simulations of galaxy formation have shown that a galactic halo is built up by mergers of pre-existing subclumps, rather than by continuous accretion of smooth gas. At the current resolution of simulations the fraction of the infalling mass in these clumps is $\approx 10\%$ (e.g. Yoshida et al. 2000). Since the slope of the subclump mass-function is $dN/dM \propto M^{-1.8}$,

⁴See the *NGST* exposure time calculator at <http://augusta.stsci.edu> for more details.

the simulations might already have converged on the total clumped mass. Even stronger sub-clumping, however, should not effect the overall energy budget: as long as the gas contracts and maintains its circular velocity, it has to dissipate the energy given in eq. 6. Furthermore, the gas in small sub-clumps can be stripped from the merging DM sub-clumps, either by the external UV background, or by clump-clump collisions. The baryons falling into the halo are then dispersed into the inter-clump medium and shock-heated, implying that their contraction and dissipation closely resembles the smooth accretion scenario. The strength of the internal shocks are determined by the streaming velocities, which numerical simulations find to be significantly smaller than the velocity dispersion of the halo. As a consequence, the internal shocks are not likely to heat the gas to temperatures above $T \approx 6 \times 10^4 \text{K}$, where He^+ line cooling would start to dominate over $\text{Ly}\alpha$ cooling.

We have assumed that the dissipated energy is released in $\text{Ly}\alpha$ cooling alone. Indeed, for a pure H+He gas of primordial composition, this is a safe assumption, since cooling shuts off abruptly below the collisional excitation temperature of $T \approx 10^4 \text{K}$. However, in the presence of molecules and heavy elements, radiative cooling is possible below 10^4K . The cooling time for $\text{Ly}\alpha$ cooling at the *peak* of the cooling curve is given by $t_{\text{cool}} = (3/2)nk_{\text{B}}T_{\text{vir}}/n^2\Lambda_{\text{cool}}$, where $\Lambda_{\text{cool}} \approx 2 \times 10^{-22} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^3$ and n is the baryon number density corresponding to an overdensity of ≈ 200 at the time of collapse. We have used T_{vir} in this expression, rather than the gas temperature $T \approx 10^4 \text{K}$, since it is the former that characterizes the gravitational binding energy that is radiated away. We find that the cooling time is between 6 – 45% of the dynamical time, $t_{\text{dyn}} = 1/\sqrt{6\pi G\rho}$ for the halos considered here. The requirement that $t_{\text{cool}} \approx t_{\text{dyn}}$ then implies that the gas temperature will be near, but somewhat below, the temperature $T \approx 10^{4.1} \text{K}$ where the cooling function peaks.

Now consider the cooling of metal-enriched gas. Although non- $\text{Ly}\alpha$ cooling is possible at $T < 10^4 \text{K}$, the cooling function below this temperature drops sharply. As long as the metallicity is $Z < 0.1Z_{\odot}$, molecular cooling or cooling by atoms heavier than He is at least a factor of ≈ 1000 less efficient than cooling via the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ peak. Since initially the non- $\text{Ly}\alpha$ cooling time exceeds the dynamical time by a factor of 60 – 450, and $t_{\text{cool}}/t_{\text{dyn}} \propto \rho^{-1/2}$, $\text{Ly}\alpha$ cooling dominates until the density is enhanced by a factor of $4 \times 10^3 - 2 \times 10^5$, or until radial contraction factors of 15 – 60. We therefore conclude that the bulk of the binding energy is likely released in $\text{Ly}\alpha$ cooling, until the metallicity builds up to near-solar levels.

Dust absorption can strongly suppress the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ flux escaping from a medium that is optically thick to $\text{Ly}\alpha$ photons; this is thought to be the reason why early $\text{Ly}\alpha$ surveys did not detect proto-galaxies (see Pritchett 1994). Recently, however, $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emitting galaxies have been found at high-redshift (e.g. Hu et al. 1998), as expected in models with lower galactic dust abundance, and inhomogeneous dust distribution (Haiman & Spaans 1999). Furthermore, the dust abundance in the early, spatially extended, collapsing phase of the high-redshift halos is likely to be significantly lower than inside star-forming galaxies.

The typical $\text{Ly}\alpha$ luminosities we derive are $\sim 10^{43-44} \text{erg s}^{-1}$. Our halos are expected to be mostly neu-

tral, and since they are embedded in the UV background, they will convert a fraction ≈ 0.5 of the incident ionizing radiation to $\text{Ly}\alpha$ radiation (Gould & Weinberg 1996). It is interesting to note that for a UV background flux of $5 \times 10^{-22} (h\nu/13.6 \text{eV})^{-1.7} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{Hz}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$, the resulting $\text{Ly}\alpha$ radiation would be at a level of 5 – 10% of our cooling signal.

4.2. Contribution of $\text{Ly}\alpha$ Halos to the UV Background

In addition to the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ flux expected from individual halos, we have computed the contribution to the extragalactic UV background from halos below the detection threshold, by summing the flux of these sources. We find the amplitude for this background to be quite small, at the level of $\lesssim 1\%$ of the UV background of $20 \pm 10 \text{nW m}^{-2} \text{sr}^{-1}$ (Bernstein 1997). Note, however, that the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ background from collapsing halos should always dominate at sufficiently high redshifts, when other UV sources, such as stars or quasars, have not yet formed.

4.3. Line Profiles: Shape and Interpretation

In order to maximize the diagnostic value of observations of the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ halos, it is important to understand the frequency distribution and surface brightness profiles over which the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ photons are emitted. In a naive spherical model, one may assume a steady emission of $\text{Ly}\alpha$ photons over the halo assembly time. For a purely radial contraction inside the logarithmic potential of an isothermal sphere, the luminosity of each shell would scale approximately as $L(r) \propto \int r^2 dr (nk_{\text{B}}T_{\text{vir}})/t_d \propto \int r^2 dr n^{3/2} \propto \log r$. Most of the luminosity would arise near the outer shells, and the surface brightness profile would scale approximately as $I(r) \propto r^{-2}$ out to $\approx 30\%$ of the virial radius. A similar conclusion holds for the NFW profile, but with $I(r)$ flattening to $I(r) \propto r^{-1}$ near $r = 0$.

Although speculative, these surface brightness distributions have interesting consequences. Since they are peaked towards $r = 0$, this could potentially make the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ halos more observable than our estimates in Table 1 imply. For example, a fraction $\approx 1/3$ of the total luminosity is released internal to the radius $R_{\text{vir}}/10$. Taking the total flux to be $1/3$ of the value in eq. 8, and assuming an extended source with an angular size of $\theta_{\text{vir}}/10$ significantly increases the number of detectable sources. At redshift $z = 5$, the inner $\approx 0.6''$ region of a $v_c = 130 \text{km/s}$ halo would still be detectable, providing ≈ 12 candidate sources per *NGST* field. Similarly, the innermost $\approx 0.3''$ region of a halo with $v_c = 120 \text{km/s}$ would be visible to $z \approx 10$.

The depth of the DM potential well would imply a line-width of $\approx 200 \text{km s}^{-1}$. The line profile is, however, significantly modified by scattering effects. Assuming that the halo is mostly neutral, the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ optical depth at the center of the line is $\tau = n_{\text{H}}\sigma_0 R_{\text{vir}} \approx 10^7$. For a thermal speed of $\sim 10 \text{km s}^{-1}$ it requires a shift in frequency by an amount $\delta\lambda/\lambda \approx 3 \times 10^{-3}$ to diffuse out of the optically thick core of a Voigt-profile (i.e. to a frequency where $\tau = 1$). This will significantly broaden the line, to $\sim 1,000 \text{km s}^{-1}$; it would also further flatten the surface brightness profile. Depending on the infall velocity and neutral density structure, the line may exhibit a characteristic “double-hump” profile.

It would be very interesting to extract these quantities directly from a three-dimensional simulation in the future, since the angular resolution and spectral capabilities

of *NGST* should be sufficient to map the surface brightness distribution, and to determine the line profile, at least for the brightest halos. Here we simply note that the characteristic line-widths of $\sim 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, as well as the extended, and relatively shallow surface brightness profiles, would be robust indicators of the initial stages of the collapse.

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We have considered the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ flux from baryonic gas condensing and cooling inside high-redshift galactic DM halos. Since the hydrogen in the universe is reionized beyond a redshift $z \approx 6$, the cooling radiation would not suffer strong scattering in the neutral IGM below this redshift (cf. Rybicki & Loeb 1999), but the halos would still appear extended on the sky with an angular diameters of $\approx 10''$. We have ignored the radiation of the initial thermal energy of the gas. Most of this energy is radiated in the continuum as Bremsstrahlung, but is too faint to be observable with *CXO* or *XMM*. However, for sufficiently metal-poor halos, $\approx 10\%$ of the initial thermal energy could be released by He^+ $\text{Ly}\alpha$ cooling. This emission likely occurs on the halo-assembly time-scale, and would accompany our hydrogen $\text{Ly}\alpha$ signal at a wavelength 4 times shorter, and with a ≈ 10 times lower line flux.

It is interesting to compare the overall binding energy radiated in $\text{Ly}\alpha$ photons by the pristine halos and the stellar $\text{Ly}\alpha$ known to be produced in “normal” galaxies. The total specific energy radiated in $\text{Ly}\alpha$ is $E(\text{cool}) \approx 2v_c^2$, where v_c is the circular velocity. According to Kennicutt (1998), 1 solar mass of gas cycled through stars releases $3.1 \times 10^{49} \text{ erg}$ in $\text{Ly}\alpha$. Assuming that 10% of the gas is converted into stars, we find a specific energy of $E(\text{stars}) = 1.7 \times 10^{-6} c^2$. As a result, for a $v_c = 200 \text{ km/s}$ halo we find $E(\text{cool})/E(\text{stars}) = 0.5$. This relatively large ratio demonstrates that the total flux in the cooling radiation can be comparable to that in stellar $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emission

lines.

Our predictions for the fluxes, angular sizes, characteristic line-width, surface brightness profiles, and the number of sources are in good agreement with the two $\text{Ly}\alpha$ “blobs” discovered at $z = 3.09$ in a 78 sq. amin field by Steidel et al. (1999), which leads us to believe that examples of cooling $\text{Ly}\alpha$ halos may already have been found. Our simple spherical model, however, predicts a “double-hump” line profile, which is not seen by Steidel et al. Further work is required to assess whether the 3D structure of the collapsing halo can wash out the predicted double peak.

Our results show that a few dozen cooling halos could be detected in the future out to $z \approx 6-8$ in a single $4' \times 4'$ field, observed for 2.5 ksec with *NGST*. In order to extend the redshift range over which this study is feasible, a large area survey with *NGST* would be desirable, with a relatively broad filter (to capture rarer, higher- z halos, over a larger redshift range), as well as wavelength coverage extending down to $\approx 0.4 \mu\text{m}$ (to capture halos at redshifts as low as $z \approx 3$). Using a narrow-band filter that minimizes the background would allow the detection of additional, fainter sources, and a detailed study of the brighter halos. The cooling halos would be a novel and direct probe of galaxy formation in the high-redshift universe, and of their evolutionary history from $z \approx 6-8$ to lower redshifts.

ZH thanks the hospitality of the ITP at the University of California at Santa Barbara, where some of this work was carried out. The authors gratefully acknowledge discussions with T. Abel, L. Bildsten, C. Steidel, M. Steinmetz, N. Katz, C. Kochanek, and R. Bernstein. This research was supported by NASA through Hubble Fellowship grants HF-01101.01-97A (to MS) and HF-01119.01-99A (to ZH), and through Chandra Fellowship grant PF9-10008 (to EQ), and by the NSF under Grant No. PHY94-07194 at the ITP.

REFERENCES

- Bernstein, R. 1997, PhD thesis, Caltech
- Fabian, A. C. 1994, ARA&A, 32, 277
- Fall, M. S., & Efstathiou, G. 1980, MNRAS, 193, 189
- Gould, A., & Weinberg, D. 1996, ApJ, 468, 462
- Haiman, Z., Abel, T., & Rees, M. J. 2000, ApJ, in press, astro-ph/9903336
- Haiman, Z., & Spaans, M. 1999, ApJ, 518, 138
- Hu, E.M., Cowie, L.L., & McMahon, R.G. 1998, ApJL, 502, 99
- Katz, N. 1991, ApJ, 368, 325
- Kelsall, T. et al. 1998, ApJ, 508, 44
- Kennicutt, R.C., Jr. 1998, ApJ, 498, 541
- Lacey, C., & Cole, S. 1993, MNRAS, 262, 627
- Leinert, Ch. et al. 1998, A&ASS, 127, 1
- Mo, H.J., Mao, S. & White, S.D.M. 1998, MNRAS, 295, 319 [MMW]
- Moore, B., Ghigna, S., Governato, F., Lake, G., Quinn, T., Stadel, J. & Tozzi, P. 1999, ApJL, 524, 19
- Navarro, J. F., Frenk, C. S., & White, S. D. M. 1997, ApJ, 490, 493 [NFW]
- Navarro, J. F., & Steinmetz, M. 1997, ApJ, 478, 13
- Navarro, J. F., & White, S. D. M. 1994, MNRAS, 267, 401
- Press, W. H., & Schechter, P. L. 1974, ApJ, 181, 425
- Pritchett, C. J. 1994, PASP, 106, 1052
- Rybicki, G.B. & Loeb, A. 1999, ApJ, 524, 527
- Shapiro, P. R., Ilyev, I., & Raga, A. C. 1999, MNRAS, 307, 203
- Steidel, C.C., Adelberger, K., Shapley, A. E., Pettini, M., Dickinson, M., & Giavalisco, M. 1999, ApJ, in press, astro-ph/9910144
- van den Bosch, F. C., & Dalcanton, J. J. 2000, ApJ, in press, astro-ph/9912004
- White, S. D. M., & Rees, M. J. 1978, MNRAS, 183, 341
- Yoshida, N., Springel, V., White, S. D. M., & Tormen, G. 2000, ApJL, submitted, astro-ph/0002362